



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/261,030	03/02/1999	CHRISTOPHER JOEL NACK	RA9-98-072	7234
25299	7590 03/26/2002			
IBM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1219 DEPT 9CCA,	BLDG 002	. /	CUFF, MICHAEL A	
RESEARCH	TRIANGLE PARK, NC	27709	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/261,030

Applicant(s)

Nack

Examiner

Michael Cuff

Art Unit **2167** 

		1 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	or Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	<del></del>
aft	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failur - Any r		statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 21, 2	
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance $\epsilon$ closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗆	☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	<del>-</del>
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>	
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
1-1,	Action of the second se	priority and or
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:
.,,	isimation statement of the term of the ter	

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/14/01 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swinamer et al. in view of Business Wire, p06160247, dated June 16, 1998.

Swinamer et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the use of a wireless management device/PDA including displaying information and sending information to and from the PDA and the POS via a central controller also using wireless modems.

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030

Art Unit: 2167

Swinamer et al. shows, figure 1, a hardwired method of communicating (sending) request for management decisions (override details), including price information, credit clearance (monetary pick-up, approval), security alerts (lock up of POS) and other incidents requiring the manager's attention. This is done for a plurality of POS terminals. The manager is at the master station (central controller system) and can determine through verbal communication the satisfactoriness or unsatisfactoriness level of the POS terminal and has the ability to fix problems to ensure satisfactoriness.

Page 3

Business Wire, p06160247, dated June 16, 1998 teaches that on the Retail Systems '98 conference in New Orleans where 3Com demonstrated its popular PalmPilot (TM) (wireless management device which receives, displays, and sends information wirelessly through a central controller such as a WAN.) connected organizer at Retail Systems '98. The Palm computing platform is an open software architecture for handheld computing that provides an ideal basis for third-party developers to create innovative mobile computing solutions. During Retail Systems '98 using the PathBuilder (TM) line of a WAN, 3com showed new on-line applications to the store supporting functions like human resources, decision support, special orders, and customer delivery all in order to improve information flow to better serve customers.

Based on the teaching of the above Business Wire article, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Swinamer system to incorporate the PalmPilots and WAN of 3com in order to improve information flow to better serve customers.

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 2167

Response to Arguments

Applicant asserts that there is no teaching that a PalmPilot can be used with a WAN in a

retail environment. The examiner does not concur. The article teaches on-line applications for

the WAN in a retail environment and teaches that the PalmPilot is connected on-line for the

demanding store environment.

Applicant asserts that there is no motivation to modify the Swinamer system to

incorporate a PalmPilot system. The examiner does not concur. The examiner does not concur

and has provided motivation in the rejection. Further motivation could also be the use of non-

verbal communication between employee and manager in front of a customer.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Cuff at

telephone number (703) 308-0610.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The fax

phone number for this Group is (703) 308-6306.

Thichael laft 3/25/02 Michael Cuff

March 25, 2002